

UNF Poll Finds Biden Leading in Florida Presidential Race

Florida Voters Feel COVID Restrictions Lifted Too Soon

The Public Opinion Research Lab (PORL) at the University of North Florida released a new poll comprised of likely Florida voters showing former Vice President Joe Biden as the frontrunner in the ongoing presidential race. Results also reveal a majority of Florida voters believe state officials have eased off social distancing restrictions too quickly.

Of the voters surveyed, 51% indicated they intended to vote for Biden, versus 45% who stated they would vote for Trump. One percent of respondents said they would vote for someone else, while 3% are still unsure. When asked whether they agree that November's election results will be fair and trustworthy, 72% said they somewhat or strongly agreed, while 28% said they disagree. Voters seem to be split along party lines on this issue, with 86% of Democrats agreeing that the results will be fair, compared to just 58% of Republicans. Respondents were also asked about the most recent presidential debate on September 29, to which only 27% responded that it was very or somewhat influential in their vote decision in the coming election, with 73% indicating it was not influential at all. A notable 42% of respondents indicated they plan on voting by mail, with early and election day in-person voting at just 29%, each.

"This vast majority of responses from this survey were collected on the two days immediately following the debate and do not account for voter concerns following the President's recent COVID-19 diagnosis," commented Dr. Binder, director of the Public Opinion Research Lab, "This large six point gap between the candidates is likely attributed to the immediate aftermath of the debate. However, this is Florida, and I expect the election results to be very close once all the votes are counted."

Regarding the nomination of Amy Coney Barrett to replace Supreme Court Associate Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, respondents were asked what scenario they would like to see in the coming months. Fifty-two percent stated they would like the candidate who wins the November election to nominate a justice after being sworn in in January, 42% said they would like to see the Senate confirm Barrett before the election, and 5% said they would prefer the President to nominate a justice after the election, but before the inauguration of the president elect.

"The fact that a Supreme Court Justice appointment became open mere weeks before the election, and that it isn't the lead story, just goes to show how crazy 2020 is," Binder said. "Amy Coney Barrett's nomination combined with the concern about the integrity of the election in general, highlights the important political role that the courts play in this country."

In addition to vote intention, respondents were asked about their approval of President Trump, along with Governor Ron DeSantis, and Senators Rick Scott and Marco Rubio. Trump received 46% approval, with 53% disapproval. Forty-seven percent of respondents approve of DeSantis's performance, and 51% disapprove. Scott garnered 45% approval, with 53% disapproval, and Rubio had 46% approval and 51% disapproval. Among Hispanic voters, the Cuban-American Senator Marco Rubio garnered just 41% approval and 55% disapproval.

"All of the Republican leaders in the state are underwater in their approval ratings," Binder noted, "Perhaps due to voter's concerns about their connections to Trump."

Respondents were also asked how they would vote on the six state constitutional amendments on the Florida ballot. Regarding Amendment One, dealing with the citizenship requirement to vote in the state of Florida, 78% said they would vote to change the verbiage from "*all* United States Citizens," to "*only* United States Citizens who are at least eighteen years of age, a permanent resident of Florida, and registered to vote, as provided by law, shall be qualified to vote in a Florida election." Amendment Two, which would raise Florida's minimum wage, garnered 60% support, with 37% saying they would vote no. Respondents also expressed support of Amendment Three, regarding changing to an open primary election system in the state of Florida, with 58% saying they would vote in favor of the measure, 36% against, while 6% are unsure. Forty-one percent of respondents said they would vote in favor of Amendment Four, requiring proposed amendments to the state constitution be voted on twice before taking effect, while 52% would vote no. Seven percent are unsure how they will vote.

Respondents overwhelmingly supported Amendments Five and Six, both dealing with expanding homestead tax exemptions. Amendment Five, which extends the period during which benefits can be transferred from one homestead to another, garnered 68% support, with 26% opposed. An impressive 88% of respondents said they would vote for Amendment Six, which extends homestead exemptions to spouses of deceased veterans with combat-related disabilities (please see survey results for full text of the amendments).

"One thing to keep in mind about ballot measures is they almost always poll much better than the final vote," said Binder. "Florida has a 60% threshold required for passage and I would expect Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to have some trouble getting over that bar."

Respondents were also asked about the coronavirus pandemic as it relates to the economy, at both the federal and state levels. Regarding the federal government's response, 61% said they are not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, 9% said they are doing too much, and 29% said the federal government is doing the right amount. The state government had similar responses, with 59% saying they are not doing enough, 6% saying too much, and 34% the right amount. When asked about the easing of social distancing restrictions, 52% of respondents said the state government is moving too quickly, with 16% saying too slowly, and 32% about right.

When asked which impacts of the coronavirus pandemic they were most concerned about, 57% said the public health impacts, while 43% said the economic impacts. These findings differ from April of this year, when the PORL conducted its Statewide COVID-19 Survey, revealing 67% of respondents were more concerned about public health, versus 31% concerned about the economy. Most Florida Likely Voters believe that face masks help slow the spread of coronavirus, at 82%.

"Even though Florida has ended most COVID-19 restrictions, voters are still concerned about the virus," Binder noted. "The past week has done nothing to ease concerns as the virus has swept through the President's inner circle." Finally, respondents were asked several questions about racial equality and immigration. When asked if they believe that Black people and white people receive equal treatment by the police, 56% said they strongly or somewhat disagree. When asked the same question about police treatment of Hispanic people and white people, 53% disagreed. A majority of those surveyed (53%) believe police-involved deaths of Black people are signs of a broad problem of systemic racism, rather than isolated incidents (46%).

Regarding immigration, 22% said they would only vote for a candidate who shared their views on immigration, while 72% said it was just one of many factors to consider. Only 6% said that it was not a major issue. Immigration appeared to be slightly more important for Hispanic respondents, with 25% of those surveyed saying they would only vote for a candidate who shared their views, versus 23% of white respondents, and just 9% of Black respondents. Lastly, respondents were asked whether they support or oppose certain proposed immigration policies. Of the total sample, 49% support building a wall along the U.S. and Mexico border, 51% opposed; 79% support allowing undocumented immigration detention centers, with 44% opposed. Among Hispanic respondents, the proposals received 41%, 81%, and 55% support, respectively.

"Considering Florida's diverse population and high number of Hispanic voters, it's no wonder issues of race and social justice are taking the forefront and will likely influence folks at the ballot box in November," Binder said. "As a final note, this is 2020, and though many polls have showed a pretty stable race (unlike 2016) there is still four weeks until this election ends and a lot can happen."

For details about the methodology of the survey and additional crosstabs by partisanship, age, race, sex, and education, visit the Recent Polls section of the PORL website.

Methodology

The UNF Fall Statewide Poll was conducted and sponsored by the Public Opinion Research Lab at the University of North Florida from Thursday, October 1 through Saturday, October 4, 2020. The survey was administered through email via Qualtrics, an online survey platform. The sample consists of 3,142 registered likely voters in Florida, 18 years of age or older. Likely voters were determined through a self-identified likelihood to vote, and 81% of respondents voted in the 2018 Florida General election. The email addresses used for this survey were sourced from the Florida's Division of Elections October 2020 update and selected through the use of probability sampling among registered voters in the Florida voter file. Respondents who indicated that they would "definitely vote," or "already voted" were included in the sample. An oversample of Hispanic voters across Florida were also included.

The margin of sampling error for the total sample is +/-1.8 percentage points. Data were weighted by partisan registration, age, race, sex, education, and geography. Education weights were created from the Census' 2019 American Community Survey (ACS) estimate for the percent of college-educated individuals in the state of Florida, approximately 29%. Partisan registration, sex, race, and age weights were created from the October update of the Florida voter file to match the active registered voters in Florida. These demographic characteristics were pulled from the voter file list. Voters were also weighted by education and 2016 vote choice.

To ensure a representative sample of registered voters, the 10 Florida designated market areas were stratified. Quotas were placed on each of these stratified areas to ensure a proportionate number of completed surveys from across the state. Due to the unique population of Miami-Dade County, it was separated to create 11 strata from the 10 designated media markets.

All weighted demographic variables were applied using the SPSS version 26 rake weighting function. There were no statistical adjustments made due to design effects. This study had a 1.3% response rate. This survey was directed by Dr. Michael Binder, UNF associate professor of political science.

The PORL is a full-service survey research facility that provides tailored research to fulfill each client's individual needs from political economic, social, and cultural projects. The PORL opened in 2001 and is an independent, non-partisan center, a charter member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Transparency Initiative and a member of the Association of Academic Survey Research Organizations (AASRO). As members of AAPOR, the PORL's goal is to support sound and ethical practices in the conduct of survey and public opinion research. For more information about methodology, contact Dr. Michael Binder at porl@unf.edu or at (904) 620-2784.

About University of North Florida

UNF is a nationally ranked university in Jacksonville, Florida, nestled on a beautiful 1,300-acre nature preserve, featuring six colleges of distinction as well as nationally recognized flagship programs, with 60 bachelor's degree programs and over 75 areas of concentration. UNF offers a unique student-centered academic experience that is creating the next generation of thinkers, leaders and problem solvers. More information at www.unf.edu.

###

Media Contact: Amanda Ennis Media Relations Coordinator (904) 620-2192

Methodology Results Contact Dr. Michael Binder Public Opinion Research Lab Director (904) 620-2784



		As you ma	y know, th	ere is a pres	idential el	ection comi	ing up on N	ovember 3	rd. How like	ely are you	to vote in t	he upcomir	ng election.					
							n=3	3,207										
			Ra	асе		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-															65+		
You will definitely vote	88%	89%	89%	87%	84%	91%	86%	87%	88%	89%	90%	88%	90%	88%	89%	86%	92%	87%
You will probably vote	2%	2%	-	4%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	6%	3%	1%	2%	1%	<1%
You will probably not vote	1%	<1%	-	2%	4%	<1%	<1%	2%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	<1%	<1%
You will definitely not vote	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	-	-	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	-
Already voted by mail	9%	10%	11%	6%	12%	7%	13%	7%	10%	8%	9%	9%	4%	6%	9%	13%	7%	13%

			lf	you do vote	, what me	thod do yo	u plan to us	se to vote ir	n the upcor	ning Noven	nber electio	ns?						
							n=2	2,799										
Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total Wibita Plack Hispanic Other P D NIA F Yes No 19.24 25.24 25.44 45.54 55.64 65.4																		
	Image: Notable White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+															65+		
Vote in-person on election day	29%	30%	17%	24%	38%	44%	12%	30%	31%	28%	25%	31%	25%	31%	30%	39%	27%	24%
Vote early in-person	29%	28%	40%	26%	27%	26%	32%	29%	29%	30%	32%	28%	29%	26%	35%	30%	25%	31%
Vote by mail	42%	41%	43%	49%	35%	29%	55%	41%	41%	42%	43%	41%	44%	43%	35%	32%	49%	45%
Don't Know/Refusal	<1%	<1%	-	1%	-	1%	<1%	-	<1%	1%	-	1%	3%	-	-	-	-	<1%

If the pre	esidential el	lection were	e held toda	y and the ca	andidates v	vere Donal	d Trump foi	r the Reput	lican Party	and Joe Bi	den for the	Democratio	Party, wh	o would yo	u vote for?			
							n=3	3,134										
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																	
	Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65															65+		
Donald Trump, REP	45%	54%	7%	39%	44%	90%	1%	42%	51%	39%	39%	48%	23%	42%	36%	48%	56%	50%
Joe Biden, DEM	51%	43%	93%	54%	46%	7%	97%	50%	45%	56%	57%	48%	69%	53%	61%	49%	39%	47%
Someone Else	1%	1%	-	2%	-	<1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Don't Know/Refusal	3%	3%	-	5%	10%	3%	1%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%

			Do you a	gree or disa	gree that th	he results o	f the Nove	mber presid	lential elec	tion will be	fair and tru	stworthy?						-
							n=3	3,142										
	No. Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																	
	Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 66															65+		
Strongly Agree	34%	31%	44%	39%	36%	17%	51%	36%	40%	29%	41%	31%	14%	42%	36%	39%	30%	34%
Somewhat Agree	38%	38%	41%	36%	36%	41%	35%	36%	34%	41%	37%	38%	60%	29%	37%	39%	37%	35%
Somewhat Disagree	18%	19%	12%	18%	12%	25%	8%	20%	17%	19%	14%	19%	25%	20%	15%	10%	20%	19%
Strongly Disagree	10%	12%	3%	6%	16%	16%	6%	8%	9%	12%	7%	12%	1%	9%	12%	12%	11%	11%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	<1%	1%	1%

		How influ	ential was	the most re	cent presid	lential deba	ate in your	decision on	who to vo	te for in the	e upcoming	presidentia	al election?					
							n=3	6,142										
Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																		
																65+		
Very influential	16%	11%	22%	26%	16%	12%	21%	14%	13%	18%	13%	17%	18%	13%	15%	16%	16%	17%
Somewhat influential	11%	10%	9%	13%	24%	13%	10%	12%	14%	9%	10%	12%	17%	13%	10%	10%	9%	11%
Not influential	73%	79%	69%	60%	60%	76%	70%	74%	73%	73%	77%	71%	66%	74%	75%	74%	74%	72%
Don't Know/Refusal	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	1%	-	<1%	<1%

				Th	inking back	to the pre	sidential el	ection in 20	16, who di	d you vote	for?							
							n=3	3,134										
			Ra	ice		P	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																		
Hillary Clinton	42%	34%	79%	42%	34%	6%	78%	42%	35%	47%	53%	36%	33%	48%	51%	47%	30%	40%
Donald Trump	42%	53%	5%	36%	37%	83%	4%	36%	48%	37%	36%	45%	13%	28%	30%	46%	57%	54%
Someone else	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	8%	4%	2%	4%	3%	<1%	9%	4%	2%	1%	2%
Didn't vote	12%	9%	12%	20%	19%	8%	14%	14%	12%	12%	5%	15%	53%	15%	13%	4%	9%	3%
Don't Know/Refusal	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	<1%	3%	2%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote: No. 1 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 2

Citizenship Requirement to Vote in Florida Elections

This amendment provides that only United States Citizens who are at least eighteen years of age, a permanent resident of Florida, and registered to vote, as provided by law, shall be qualified to vote in a Florida election.

Because the proposed amendment is not expected to result in any changes to the voter registration process in Florida, it will have no impact on state or local government costs or revenue. Further, it will have no effect on the state's economy.

							n=3	8,091										
			Ra	ice		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	78%	79%	68%	80%	82%	94%	60%	82%	77%	79%	71%	81%	72%	71%	70%	84%	81%	83%
No	18%	18%	31%	18%	18%	4%	38%	16%	21%	18%	27%	17%	27%	29%	27%	15%	14%	15%
Don't Know/Refusal	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	<1%	1%	3%	1%	6%	2%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote: No. 2 Constitutional Amendment Article X, Section 24

Raising Florida's Minimum Wage

Raises minimum wage to \$10.00 per hour effective September 30th, 2021. Each September 30th thereafter, minimum wage shall increase by \$1.00 per hour until the minimum wage reaches \$15.00 per hour on September 30th, 2026. From that point forward, future minimum wage increases shall revert to being adjusted annually for inflation starting September 30th, 2027.

State and local government costs will increase to comply with the new minimum wage levels. Additional annual wage costs will be approximately \$16 million in 2022, increasing to about \$540 million in 2027 and thereafter. Government actions to mitigate these costs are unlikely to produce material savings. Other government costs and revenue impacts, both positive and negative, are not quantifiable.

THIS PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE A NET NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE STATE BUDGET. THIS IMPACT MAY RESULT IN HIGHER TAXES OR A LOSS OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED STATE BUDGET AS REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTION.

							n=3	,055										
			Ra	ice		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	60%	53%	85%	70%	60%	32%	90%	60%	57%	63%	64%	59%	69%	65%	62%	61%	55%	56%
No	37%	43%	15%	29%	40%	64%	9%	37%	42%	31%	34%	38%	31%	32%	36%	37%	38%	42%
Don't Know/Refusal	3%	4%	-	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	7%	1%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote: No. 3 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 5

All Voters Vote in Primary Elections for State Legislature, Governor, and Cabinet

Allows all registered voters to vote in primaries for state legislature, governor, and cabinet regardless of political party affiliation. All candidates for an office, including party nominated candidates, appear on the same primary ballot. Two highest vote getters advance to general election. If only two candidates qualify, no primary is held and winner is determined in general election. Candidate's party affiliation may appear on ballot as provided by law. Effective January 1, 2024. It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. The Financial Impact Estimating Conference projects that the combined costs across counties will range from \$5.2 million to \$5.8 million for each of the first three election cycles occurring in even-numbered years after the amendment's effective date, with the costs for each of the intervening years dropping to less than \$450,000. With respect to state costs for oversight, the additional costs for administering elections are expected to be minimal. Further, there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida. Since there is no impact on state costs or revenues, there will be no impact on the state's budget. While the proposed amendment will result in an increase in local expenditures, this change is expected to be below the threshold that would produce a statewide economic impact.

							n=2	,994										
			Ra	ice		Pa	rty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree	Age					
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	58%	52%	77%	67%	54%	48%	61%	72%	53%	63%	55%	60%	75%	57%	63%	67%	52%	58%
No	35%	41%	18%	26%	41%	45%	32%	24%	42%	30%	40%	34%	14%	37%	33%	28%	39%	36%
Don't Know/Refusal	6%	7%	5%	7%	4%	7%	7%	5%	5%	8%	5%	7%	11%	6%	4%	5%	9%	6%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

No. 4 Constitutional Amendment Article XI, Sections 5 and 7

Voter Approval of Constitutional Amendments

Requires all proposed amendments or revisions to the state constitution to be approved by the voters in two elections, instead of one, in order to take effect. The proposal applies the current thresholds for passage to each of the two elections. It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional state and local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. Overall, these costs will vary from election cycle to election cycle depending on the unique circumstances of each ballot and cannot be estimated at this time. The key factors determining cost include the number of amendments appearing for the second time on each ballot and the length of those amendments. Since the maximum state cost is likely less than \$1 million per cycle but the impact cannot be discretely quantified, the change to the state's budget is unknown. Similarly, the economic impact cannot be modelled, although the spending increase is expected to be below the threshold that would produce a statewide economic impact. Because there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida, there will be no impact on government taxes or fees.

THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THIS AMENDMENT CANNOT BE DETERMINED DUE TO AMBIGUITIES AND UNCERTAINTIES SURROUNDING THE AMENDMENT'S IMPACT.

							n=2	2,943										
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	41%	37%	51%	45%	40%	45%	39%	36%	41%	40%	36%	43%	45%	35%	39%	48%	47%	36%
No	52%	55%	44%	47%	55%	47%	54%	58%	54%	50%	58%	49%	46%	61%	57%	47%	40%	46%
Don't Know/Refusal	7%	8%	6%	8%	5%	8%	7%	6%	5%	9%	6%	8%	9%	4%	4%	5%	13%	8%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote: No. 5 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 4 and Article XII

Limitations on Homestead Property Tax Assessments; increased portability period to transfer accrued benefit

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution, effective January 1, 2021, to increase, from 2 years to 3 years, the period of time during which accrued Save-Our-Homes benefits may be transferred from a prior homestead to a new homestead.

							n=2	2,928										
			Ra	ice		Pá	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	67%	68%	57%	72%	68%	65%	67%	69%	65%	69%	67%	67%	76%	64%	72%	65%	65%	66%
No	26%	25%	33%	21%	24%	27%	24%	26%	31%	21%	27%	25%	9%	33%	24%	32%	21%	27%
Don't Know/Refusal	8%	7%	9%	7%	8%	8%	9%	5%	5%	10%	6%	8%	15%	4%	5%	4%	14%	7%

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

No. 6 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 6 and Article XII

Ad Valorem Tax Discount for Spouses of Certain Deceased Veterans Who Had Permanent, Combat-Related Disabilities

Provides that the homestead property tax discount for certain veterans with permanent combat-related disabilities carries over to such veteran's surviving spouse who holds legal or beneficial title to, and who permanently resides on, the homestead property, until he or she remarries or sells or otherwise disposes of the property. The discount may be transferred to a new homestead property of the surviving spouse under certain conditions. The amendment takes effect January 1,

							n=2	2,913										
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Yes	89%	88%	96%	89%	79%	91%	86%	89%	87%	89%	87%	89%	83%	88%	88%	94%	84%	90%
No	8%	9%	1%	6%	19%	5%	11%	8%	11%	5%	10%	7%	12%	9%	7%	4%	9%	8%
Don't Know/Refusal	4%	4%	3%	5%	2%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	8%	2%

Below is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doing:

						1	President D	onald Trun	ip									
							n=2	2,902										
			Ra	ice		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	I White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55														55-64	65+	
Strongly approve	37%	43%	7%	32%	44%	77%	1%	30%	43%	32%	30%	40%	11%	29%	30%	40%	45%	46%
Somewhat approve	9%	11%	1%	8%	7%	13%	1%	14%	10%	8%	8%	9%	7%	8%	7%	12%	11%	7%
Somewhat disapprove	3%	2%	3%	6%	7%	2%	2%	8%	3%	4%	4%	3%	13%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%
Strongly disapprove	50%	43%	89%	53%	42%	3%	96%	48%	44%	56%	57%	47%	69%	60%	61%	47%	41%	43%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%

			Belov	w is a list of	people, ple	ease select	•	••	or disappro	ove of the j	ob each are	doing:						
							Senator	Rick Scott										
	n=2,902 Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																	
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																	
																65+		
Strongly approve	24%	28%	4%	22%	24%	47%	1%	22%	31%	17%	21%	25%	8%	20%	13%	17%	30%	35%
Somewhat approve	21%	24%	2%	21%	27%	38%	4%	21%	19%	22%	17%	23%	17%	16%	19%	28%	21%	21%
Somewhat disapprove	13%	11%	21%	15%	16%	7%	18%	18%	10%	17%	16%	12%	26%	21%	13%	10%	13%	9%
Strongly disapprove	40%	36%	70%	39%	25%	7%	75%	36%	39%	40%	45%	37%	47%	42%	54%	42%	31%	33%
Don't Know/Refusal	3%	2%	3%	4%	8%	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	6%	2%

			Belov	w is a list of	people, ple	ease select	•	ou approve larco Rubic		ve of the jo	ob each are	doing:						
n=2,902 Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																		
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																	
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+															65+		
Strongly approve	22%	25%	4%	24%	21%	47%	1%	20%	29%	16%	19%	23%	9%	20%	12%	19%	28%	30%
Somewhat approve	24%	30%	6%	17%	26%	41%	7%	24%	24%	24%	21%	26%	15%	21%	23%	31%	22%	27%
Somewhat disapprove	16%	16%	21%	13%	10%	7%	20%	26%	13%	19%	17%	16%	24%	24%	7%	17%	20%	12%
Strongly disapprove	35%	28%	67%	42%	31%	5%	71%	28%	33%	37%	42%	32%	51%	34%	57%	31%	27%	29%
Don't Know/Refusal	2%	1%	1%	3%	12%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%

			Belov	w is a list of	people, ple		-	ou approve Ron DeSant		ove of the jo	ob each are	doing:						
	n=2,902 Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																	
Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																		
																65+		
Strongly approve	34%	42%	4%	27%	26%	70%	2%	29%	42%	27%	29%	37%	10%	26%	27%	34%	42%	43%
Somewhat approve	13%	13%	9%	12%	21%	17%	6%	18%	13%	13%	13%	13%	10%	19%	11%	18%	13%	9%
Somewhat disapprove	8%	9%	5%	8%	9%	5%	9%	12%	6%	10%	9%	8%	5%	4%	6%	6%	9%	12%
Strongly disapprove	43%	35%	82%	50%	37%	7%	82%	40%	38%	48%	49%	41%	73%	50%	56%	40%	32%	34%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	1%	2%	8%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	<1%	2%	2%	<1%	<1%	2%	3%	1%

As you may have heard, P	resident Tr	ump has no	ominated A	Amy Coney E	Barrett to r	eplace Rut	h Bader Gin	sburg on th	e Supreme	Court. Wh	ich of the fo	ollowing sc	enarios wo	uld you like	to see hap	pen?		
							n=2,897											
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett prior to the	42%	51% 8% 36% 42% 87% 2% 34% 48% 37% 36% 45% 19% 30% 33% 47% 53%														E 20/	51%	
election on Nov. 3rd.	42%	51%	070	50%	42%	6770	270	54%	40%	5770	50%	43%	19%	50%	55%	4770	33%	51%
The Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett after the																		
election on November 3rd, but before the new Senate	5%	6%	1%	4%	5%	6%	3%	9%	7%	3%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	4%	5%
and President are sworn in in January.																		
The person that wins the presidential election in																		
November should nominate a justice and the newly	52%	43%	92%	59%	52%	7%	96%	56%	45%	58%	59%	49%	75%	62%	61%	49%	42%	44%
elected Senate should confirm that candidate in																		
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	<1%	2%	<1%

Do you think the federa	l governme	ent is doing	too much	to support t	he econom	y during th	e pandemi	c, not doing	g enough to	support th	e economy	during the	pandemic,	or is doing	about the	right amou	nt?	
							n=2	,890										
Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																		
	Image: Note of the system Race of the system Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Sex Sex<															65+		
Too much	9%	10%	<1%	9%	22%	16%	5%	6%	7%	11%	7%	10%	10%	6%	3%	8%	14%	9%
Not enough	61%	55%	93%	66%	43%	31%	89%	66%	62%	59%	63%	60%	71%	71%	73%	61%	50%	61%
About right	29%	34%	6%	24%	35%	53%	6%	27%	30%	29%	30%	29%	20%	23%	24%	31%	36%	29%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%

Do you think the Florida	state goverr	ment is doi	ng too mu	h to suppo	rt the econ	omy during	the pande	mic, not do	ing enough	to suppor	t the econo	my during t	he pandem	nic, or is do	ing about t	he right am	ount?	
							n=2	2,890										
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																	
																65+		
Too much	6%	5%	2%	5%	15%	6%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	10%	8%	4%	4%	6%	5%
Not enough	59%	51%	94%	68%	45%	30%	88%	61%	62%	56%	64%	57%	68%	66%	67%	67%	51%	50%
About right	34%	42%	3%	25%	39%	63%	6%	33%	32%	37%	30%	36%	22%	27%	29%	29%	42%	42%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	<1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	2%	3%

		Do γοι	u think Flor	ida is movin	ng too slow	rly or too qu	uickly to ea	se social dis	stancing res	strictions a	nd reopen,	or is it abou	ıt right?					
							n=2	2,884										
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																	
	Image: Note of the state of the s															65+		
Too quickly	52%	47%	80%	55%	47%	16%	88%	55%	47%	57%	59%	49%	72%	63%	59%	52%	41%	45%
Too slowly	15%	16%	8%	17%	21%	26%	5%	15%	19%	13%	16%	16%	12%	13%	21%	17%	18%	12%
About right	32%	37%	12%	28%	32%	58%	8%	30%	35%	30%	32%	35%	17%	24%	20%	31%	41%	43%
Don't Know/Refusal	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	-	<1%	<1%

		v	/hat is a bi	gger concer	n for you, t	he public h	ealth impa	cts or the e	conomic im	pacts of th	e coronavir	us pandem	ic?					
	n=2,884																	
	Race Party Affiliation Sex Bachelor's Degree Age																	
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Public health impacts	57%	50%	89%	61%	57%	21%	90%	62%	52%	61%	61%	54%	72%	57%	62%	60%	45%	54%
Economic impacts	43%	49%	11%	39%	43%	78%	9%	37%	47%	38%	37%	45%	28%	43%	38%	38%	53%	45%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%

			0	o you agree	e or disagre	e that face	masks wo	rk to slow t	he spread o	of the nove	l coronaviru	ıs?						
							n=2	2,884										
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	otal White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 6															65+	
Strongly agree	63%																62%	
Somewhat agree	19%	20%	13%	19%	10%	33%	6%	14%	20%	17%	15%	20%	22%	18%	10%	20%	21%	21%
Somewhat disagree	9%	11%	1%	5%	14%	19%	1%	6%	11%	7%	6%	10%	1%	1%	12%	8%	11%	12%
Strongly disagree	10%	11%	4%	8%	11%	18%	1%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	2%	9%	16%	11%	14%	6%
Don't Know/Refusal	<1%	1%	-	<1%	-	1%	-	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	<1%

			Do yo	u agree or d	isagree tha	t black peo	ple and wh	ite people	receive equ	al treatme	nt from the	police?						
							n=2	2,882										
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+															65+		
Strongly agree	24%	27%	4%	27%	27%	44%	5%	21%	26%	22%	18%	27%	9%	22%	25%	26%	30%	24%
Somewhat agree	18%	22%	3%	13%	29%	32%	3%	20%	23%	15%	16%	20%	12%	12%	11%	16%	22%	26%
Somewhat disagree	14%	17%	6%	13%	12%	14%	11%	20%	15%	14%	16%	13%	11%	10%	11%	11%	14%	20%
Strongly disagree	43%	34%	88%	46%	31%	8%	80%	38%	36%	48%	50%	39%	66%	57%	52%	45%	32%	29%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	2%	<1%	1%	2%	-	<1%	2%	2%	1%

			Do you	agree or dis	agree that	Hispanic pe	eople and v	hite peopl	e receive e	qual treatm	ent from th	ne police?						
							n=2	2,882										
			Ra	ace		Pa	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
Total White Black Hispanic Other R D NPA M F Yes No 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+																		
Strongly agree	25%	27%	4%	27%	30%	45%	5%	22%	27%	23%	19%	27%	9%	23%	24%	27%	32%	25%
Somewhat agree	22%	27%	3%	15%	28%	36%	6%	26%	26%	18%	19%	23%	16%	14%	12%	17%	27%	32%
Somewhat disagree	22%	20%	33%	18%	16%	15%	27%	24%	19%	24%	23%	21%	25%	26%	14%	25%	20%	22%
Strongly disagree	31%	25%	60%	38%	25%	3%	62%	27%	28%	34%	38%	28%	49%	37%	49%	30%	20%	21%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	<1%	2%	2%	1%

	Do yo	u think the	deaths of b	lack people	during end	counters wi	th the poli	e are isola	ed inciden	ts or signs o	of a broade	r problem c	of systemic	racism?				
							n=2	,877										
			Ra	ace		Pá	arty Affiliati	on	Se	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Isolated incidents	46%	54%	6%	39%	52%	86%	6%	42%	53%	39%	38%	49%	20%	34%	37%	48%	57%	54%
Signs of a broader problem of systemic racism	53%	45%	94%	60%	48%	12%	94%	57%	47%	60%	61%	50%	79%	66%	62%	50%	41%	45%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%

			Thinking	about how	the immig	ration issu	e might aff	ect your yo	e for maio	offices w								
			THINKINE	, about now	the ming	10111350	n=2,877			onices, w	oulu you							
			Ra	ace		P	arty Affiliati	ion	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Only vote for a candidate who shares your views on immigration	22%	23%	9%	25%	24%	33%	13%	16%	23%	21%	18%	23%	14%	19%	22%	16%	27%	25%
Consider a candidate's position on immigration as just one of many important factors	72%	72%	77%	67%	66%	63%	78%	75%	69%	74%	74%	71%	85%	72%	68%	73%	68%	71%
Not see immigration as a major issue	6%	4%	14%	7%	11%	4%	8%	8%	8%	5%	8%	5%	-	8%	9%	11%	5%	4%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	-	1%	-	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	2%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%

					•	u support o g a physica	I wall along			•								
	1	1	Ra	се		Pa	arty Affiliati	7	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Strongly support	38%	44%	5%	28%	48%	78%	2%	29%	44%	32%	28%	42%	11%	26%	26%	43%	51%	45%
Somewhat support	11%	12%	6%	13%	11%	14%	6%	16%	12%	11%	12%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	9%	12%
Somewhat oppose	9%	6%	20%	9%	11%	4%	12%	13%	9%	9%	11%	8%	6%	9%	8%	15%	10%	7%
Strongly oppose	42%	37%	68%	48%	29%	5%	80%	41%	35%	47%	49%	38%	68%	54%	54%	31%	28%	36%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	<1%	1%	2%	-	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	<1%	-	1%	1%

	Allow	/ing undocu	imented in	nmigrants liv	•	••	••	ach of the f	۰.	•	rtain requir	ements ove	er a period o	of time				
							n=2	2,875										1
			Ra	ace		P	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		l.
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Strongly support	52%	49%	70%	57%	44%	30%	77%	48%	46%	58%	55%	51%	74%	57%	59%	60%	45%	41%
Somewhat support	27%	29%	19%	24%	28%	32%	16%	34%	30%	23%	26%	27%	18%	20%	22%	26%	33%	31%
Somewhat oppose	9%	10%	9%	5%	5%	15%	4%	7%	10%	8%	11%	8%	4%	10%	5%	9%	8%	13%
Strongly oppose	12%	12%	3%	12%	22%	23%	2%	9%	13%	10%	8%	13%	3%	13%	14%	6%	14%	14%
Don't Know/Refusal	1%	1%	<1%	2%	-	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	<1%	1%

					•	••	r oppose ea eral immigi n=2			•								
			Ra	ace		P	arty Affiliati	on	S	ex	Bachelor	's Degree			A	ge		
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	R	D	NPA	М	F	Yes	No	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+
Strongly support	34%	29%	60%	40%	28%	6%	67%	27%	29%	39%	37%	33%	64%	38%	44%	31%	25%	27%
Somewhat support	20%	22%	17%	15%	24%	13%	21%	31%	18%	22%	20%	20%	13%	24%	22%	19%	19%	21%
Somewhat oppose	18%	18%	17%	17%	21%	28%	9%	17%	19%	17%	18%	18%	15%	13%	11%	24%	24%	18%
Strongly oppose	26%	29%	6%	26%	27%	50%	3%	22%	32%	20%	23%	27%	6%	25%	22%	25%	31%	31%
Don't Know/Refusal	2%	2%	<1%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	3%

Sample Characteristics Total Sample	N=3,142
Total sample	N-3,14/
Party Registration	
Republican	39%
Democrat	39%
No Party Affiliation	22%
Interview Language	
English	98%
Spanish	2%
Age	
18-25	8%
25-34	16%
35-44	15%
45-54	15%
55-64	18%
65+	29%
Race	
White	63%
Black	14%
Hispanic	16%
Other	8%
Hispanic Origin*	70/
Colombian	7%
Cuban	26%
Mexican	6%
Puerto Rican	23%
Venezuelan	6%
Something Else	31%
Education (Bachelor's Degree)	
No College	70%
College Graduate	30%
	50/0
Born*	
Inside the US	47%
In another country	52%
Sex	
Male	47%
Female	53%